

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,426	12/31/2003	Dilip Madhusudan Ranade	5760-18800	1784	
35690 7590 09/03/2008 MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 398			BAYARD, DJENANE M		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2141		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/750 426 RANADE ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DJENANE M. BAYARD 2141 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 31-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 31-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/750,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 5/12/08 in which claims 31-48 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 31-35, 37-41, 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,763362 to McKeeth.
- a. As per claims 31, 37 and 43, McKeeth teaches a system comprising: one or more processors (See col. col. 4, lines 26-60); and memory storing program instructions; wherein the program instructions are executable by the one or more processors to: store a replica of a data object (See col. 5, lines 60-67); store history information indicative of previous accesses to the replica of the data object (See col. 7, lines 35-63); and in response to receiving a write request to update the replica of the data object, select one or more operations to perform on the replica of the data object based on the history information, wherein the one or more operations selected

Application/Control Number: 10/750,426

Art Unit: 2141

based on the history information include one or more of: updating the replica of the data object;

Page 3

and marking the replica of the data object as stale (See col. 3, lines 3-35).

b. As per claims 32, 39 and 44, McKeeth teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, McKeeth teaches wherein selecting the one or more operations based on the history

information comprises: analyzing the history information to determine a number of accesses to

the replica of the data object received within a first time period; and selecting to update the

replica of the data object in response to determining that the number of accesses received within

the first time period is greater than or equal to a threshold value (See col. 3, lines 3-35).

As per claims 33 and 45, McKeeth teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, McKeeth teaches wherein selecting the one or more operations based on the history

information comprises: analyzing the history information to determine a number of accesses to

the replica of the data object received within a first time period (See col. 8, lines 29-41); and

selecting to mark the replica of the data object as stale in response to determining that the

number of accesses received within the first time period is less than a threshold value (See col. 2,

lines 59-65).

c.

d. As per claims 34, 40 and 46, McKeeth teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, McKeeth teaches wherein the replica of the data object includes a plurality of

portions of data; wherein the write request to update the replica of the data object comprises a

write request to update a first portion of the plurality of portions of data; wherein said updating

Art Unit: 2141

the replica of the data object comprises updating the first portion of the plurality of portions of data; wherein said marking the replica of the data object as stale comprises marking the first portion of the plurality of portions of data as stale (See col. 6, lines 19-33)..

e. As per claims 35, 41 and 47, McKeeth teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, McKeeth teaches wherein selecting the one or more operations based on the history information comprises: analyzing the history information to determine a number of accesses to the first portion of the plurality of portions of data received within a first time period (See col. 8, lines 14-41); selecting to update the first portion of the plurality of portions of data if the number of accesses received within the first time period is greater than or equal to a threshold value; selecting to mark the first portion of the plurality of portions of data as stale if the number of accesses received within the first time period is less than the threshold value (See col. 3, lines 3-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 36, 42 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent No. 6,763362 to McKeeth in view of U.S. Patent No. 2002/0087797 to Adrangi.

a. As per claims 36, 42 and 48, McKeeth fails to teach wherein the replica of the data object comprises a replica of a file.

Adrangi teaches wherein the data object comprises a replica of a file (See paragraph [0044]).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Adrangi in the claimed invention of McKeeth in order to cache popular network content (See paragraph [0008]).

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,426 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DJENANE M. BAYARD whose telephone number is (571)272-

3878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 5:30 AM- 3:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Djenane Bayard

/D. M. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 2141 /William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144